



{In Archive} Status of Goliad Project Permitting Proceedings

Jose Torres to: Ray Leissner
Cc: Philip Dellinger

08/18/2009 05:26 PM

From: Jose Torres/R6/USEPA/US
To: Ray Leissner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Philip Dellinger/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

History: This message has been replied to.
Archive: This message is being viewed in an archive.

Hello Ray:

Shown below is an e-mail and attachments that I shared with you last June. In the second attached e-mail, you will see that Ben informed us that a final draft permit had been issued for the Goliad Project, that SOAH is preparing a hearing and that the discovery phase was in progress at the time. After that, Ben made reference to the issuance of an initial draft PAA1, and also mentioned that the permits application for the project's Class I wells is undergoing technical review. Hopefully, this information will give you a picture of TCEQ's handling of the proposed Goliad Project. Please let me know if you have further questions. Thanks,

Jose Eduardo Torres

===

----- Forwarded by Jose Torres/R6/USEPA/US on 08/18/2009 05:19 PM -----



Re: A Request

Jose Torres to: Ben Knappe

06/15/2009 10:38 AM

Cc: wright.larry, dellinger.philip, leissner.ray

Thank you Mr. Ben for the updates, I am sure that the UIC team will continue to do just fine. And yes, I did receive some information from Ms. Kathryn concerning the TexCom application.

She sent me copies of TexCom's Class V application for the WDW-410 well, as well as a copy of TCEQ's letter to the operator listing several deficiencies. I expressed to her my concern that the operator has not as yet identified in detail the proposed re-perforated interval. Without this information, we may not be able to assist with evaluating the effectiveness of the proposed fall-off test.

It appears that the proposed injection volume is similar to what was used in the previous test. As the attached Horner plot shows, the radius of investigation for the 1999 test was estimated at 1500 feet. If the proposed fall-off test is to provide information on the location and nature of the fault we all are trying to analyze, the radius of investigation will most likely have to be in the range of 5000 feet or greater.

Without a detailed description of the perforated interval, it becomes more difficult to estimate whether the proposed test will investigate that far into the reservoir. We might use the estimated (log-core) permeability data seen in the second attachment as a starting point, should a perforated interval become available.

We would like to see a successful test, and are prepared to assist in any way we can. Please do not hesitate to e-mail/call me if you have questions. Regards,

José Eduardo Torres - 6WQ-SG
EPA, Region 6
(214) 665-8092

===

-----"Ben Knapé" <BKNAPÉ@tceq.state.tx.us> wrote: -----

To: Jose Torres/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
From: "Ben Knapé" <BKNAPÉ@tceq.state.tx.us>
Date: 06/11/2009 06:20PM
cc: Philip Dellinger/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Ray Leissner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Subject: Re: A Request

Jose:

It's been hectic here, with Jackie's retirement at the end of the month, and the announcement of Earl Lott as the new director for Waste Permits. Earl announced today that our UIC Team will be moved to the Radioactive Materials Division. That completes a cycle begun in 1994, if I remember correctly, when we were moved out of a division we shared with the solid waste program, and were combined with the radioactive waste program in a new section. That lasted seven years until another reorganization where UIC was sent back to join the solid waste program (Industrial Hazardous Waste Permits Section/ Waste Permits Division). In joining the Radioactive Materials Division, effective July 1, our division director will be Susan Jablonski.

In response to your email, I believe that Kathryn has sent you information on TexCom. Information on the other projects is as follows:

UEC's proposed area permit UR03075 and aquifer exemption - Hearing convened by SOAH administrative law judge (ALJ) on 5/14/09; ALJ took jurisdiction, named parties, and set schedule for discovery and testimony. Now in discovery phase. (Contact Don Redmond or Shana Horton for a copy of the hearing schedule.)

UEC's proposed PAA 1 - Initial draft permit mailed 5/4/09; final draft permit mailed 6/9/09; notice should occur soon.

UEC's proposed Class I wells, WDW423 and WDW424 - In technical review.

URI Rosita Expansion - Area permit URO2880 was amended to expand area on 11/15/07; proposed amendment of aquifer exemption to expand exempted area completed notice without opposition and should be approved by the executive director and forwarded to EPA for program revision.

STMV-Palangana - Area permit UR03070 issued 11/26/08; PAA 1 issued 1/5/09; Class I well permits WDW418 and WDW419 issued 3/27/09 (initial request for hearing on WDWs was dropped).

Mestena - proposed issuance of UR03060 PAA 4 is now in comment phase (end of comment 6/15/09).

-Ben

>>> <Torres.Jose@epamail.epa.gov> 6/8/2009 5:22 PM >>>

Hello Mr. Ben:

I am attempting to prepare an update on the status of some of the proposed projects involving applications now under review by your team. I will be including an update on these projects in our annual review report for TCEQ UIC program activities, therefore, the need for information.

Please let me know if it is possible for you to provide an update on the latest developments regarding the applications for the following projects: TexCom's WDW-410 Class I injection well, UEC's Goliad Project, URI's Rosita Expansion, South Texas Mining Venture's La Palangana Project and Mesteña's Alta Mesa Project.

If at all possible, please provide details, including dates, on, for example, the issuance of draft, or final approval of production area authorizations, or on any other action that your team may have taken regarding the listed projects.

The last time that we discussed the status of the TexCom application, you informed that the contested case hearing might take place late in July, and you also said that you had not heard reference to any field work having been completed or planned for completion at the WDW-410, as had been previously mentioned. We have reviewed copy of a notice of deficiency sent by TCEQ to TexCom concerning a Class V well, and have requested clarification on the role of this never before discussed Class V well in the processing of this application for a Class I non-hazardous well. We are not sure at this time of what exactly will be addressed at the CCH.

Thank you in advance for your attention in this matter. Please feel free to call/e-mail me if you have questions regarding this request. Have a nice afternoon. Sincerely,

Jose Eduardo Torres - 6WQ-SG
EPA, Region 6
(214) 665-8092

[attachment "080908LowerCockfieldProperties_001.tif" deleted by Jose Torres/R6/USEPA/US]
[attachment "080117WDW315 Pressure Fall-off TestReport_img_20c.jpg" deleted by Jose Torres/R6/USEPA/US]